Overview of Responses and Recommendations

Budget Proposals 16				19 January 2016 Version 2 (Exec)	
Proposal:	To reduce the funding to the Eat 4 Health Course.				
Total budget 15/16:	£60,000	Recommended officer s 16/17:	aving	£5,000*	
Initial proposed saving 16/17:	£7,000 (8%)*	inal recommendation to xecutive 16/17:		To proceed with this savings proposal, without any modifications.	
Nos of responses:	Three in total from individuals; one from NHS Newbury and District Clinical Commissioning Group				
Key issues raised:	Concern that Healthy eating is a preventative service and by cutting prevention it would go against the priorities of the NHS Five Year Forward View and the West Berkshire Health and Wellbeing Strategy. Suggestion that there is plenty of existing information available and public awareness on this issue already.				
Equality issues:	None were drawn out from the responses				
Suggestions for reducing the impact on service users:	Suggestion	Council response	Council response		
	None given				
Alternative options for applying the saving in this area:	Suggestion	Council response	Council response		
	None given				
Suggestions for how others may help contribute:	The NHS Newbury and District Clinical Commissioning Group are keen to work with council partners to ensure the best services are available to maintain population health and wellbeing and would welcome a discussion on working jointly within current financial constraints				
Officer conclusion as a result of the responses:	The exercise has not highlighted any impacts that are not already anticipated. Although the overall funding is being reduced for Eat 4 Health, the number of participants per course will be maximised and so there will be enough provision for those who require it.				
Officer recommendation	Although this proposal is funded by the Public Health grant feedback has not uncovered anything which would prevent the council from proceeding with this proposal. It is therefore recommended that the proposal be implemented with no changes.				
as a result of responses:	(*Please note: the initial proposed saving should have been £5,000 and not £7,000 as was consulted on).				

NB: This overview and recommendation paper should be read in conjunction with the Summary of Responses and Verbatim Responses received in relation to this proposal, circulated electronically to all members alongside the agenda pack and published online on our <u>Consultation Portal</u>.